REMARKS

Claim 1 calls for marking a first set of fiducial points on the first view and a second set of

fiducial points on the second view. While the cited article by Dariush does discuss a two-image

approach, he does not mark fiducial points on both the first and second view. Instead, as indicated

in Figure 4, the fiducial points are all marked on one view, the contour view.

Therefore, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

On the same basis, reconsideration of the rejection of claim 10 is requested. It calls for

obtaining features set in information, including fudicial points, from a first and second view of the

human face.

To the same effect is claim 16 and, for the same reasons, it too patentably distinguishes

over the cited reference.

Claim 20 calls for using active contours and marking first and second sets of fiducial points

on two different views of the face. For this reason, the claim distinguishes over the cited

reference.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 30, 2007

Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994 TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.

1616 South Voss Road, Suite 750

Houston, TX 77057-2631

713/468-8880 [Phone]

713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation

6